

1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 2 COMMISSIONERS Arizona Corporation Commission 3 DOCKETED TOM FORESE - Chairman **BOB BURNS** 4 DOUG LITTLE JUN 2 2 2017 ANDY TOBIN 5 BOYD W. DUNN DOCKETED BY 6 IN THE MATTER OF THE COMMISSION'S DOCKET NO. E-00000J-14-0023 7 INVESTIGATION OF VALUE AND COST OF DISTRIBUTED GENERATION. DECISION NO. 76149 8 ORDER CLARIFYING DECISION 9 NO. 75859 REGARDING RCP ANALYSIS SPREADSHEET 10 MODEL PUBLICATION 11 Open Meeting June 13 and 14, 2017 12 Phoenix, Arizona 13 BY THE COMMISSION: 14 15 Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the 16 Commission finds, concludes, and orders that: 17 FINDINGS OF FACT 18 1. On January 3, 2017, the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") issued 19 Decision No. 75859 in this docket.<sup>1</sup> 20 2. Decision No. 75859 adopted the Resource Comparison Proxy ("RCP") methodology 21 and a Five-Year Avoided Cost methodology to calculate the value of DG exports in electric utility rate 22 cases before the Commission, and ordered parties to utilize the RCP methodology initially until the 23 Five-Year Avoided Cost methodology is finalized. 24 3. Decision No. 75859 addresses a requirement that the RCP model be made available on 25 the Commission's website. 26 27 <sup>1</sup> Decision No. 75932 (January 13, 2017) amended Decision No. 75859 to establish that the grandfathering provisions in Decision No. 75859 begin on the date a customer files for interconnection, as opposed to the date interconnection is actually 28 accomplished.

- 4. In discussion regarding implementation of value of Distributed Generation ("DG") methodologies, Decision No. 75859 indicates, at page 154, that the methodologies shall be:
  - Transparent: all inputs, assumptions and calculations shall be clearly described and explained;
  - 2) Accessible: i.e., the value of DG methodology cost-benefit calculation shall be made available to the public in the form of an electronic spreadsheet that is published on the Commission's website; and
  - 3) Flexible: to allow for the ability to change inputs and assumptions used in the calculation which are likely to change over time.
- 5. Decision No. 75859, at page 173, Finding of Fact 153, provides that within 90 days of receipt of the underlying data for the RCP methodology provided by the utility, Staff shall:
  - 1) Perform the analysis;
  - Make all assumptions and inputs of its analysis publicly available in the form of electronic spreadsheet that is published on the Commission's website, with a clear description and explanation of all inputs, assumptions and calculations.
- 6. On May 12, 2017, Staff filed a Motion for Clarification. Staff requests clarification with respect to the requirement in Decision No. 75859 that Staff make the assumptions and inputs of its RCP analysis in rate cases publicly available in the form of an electronic spreadsheet on the Commission's website. Staff states that some of the inputs used in the RCP, such as information related to Purchase Power Agreements ("PPAs"), are confidential.
- 7. Staff seeks clarification that Decision No. 75859 does not require Staff to put confidential inputs, such as PPAs or other confidential information, on the Commission's website.
- 8. Staff seeks further clarification regarding the acceptability, in each rate case where the export rate is calculated via the RCP and confidential inputs are used, of putting a **redacted** version of Staff's analysis using the spreadsheet on the Commission's website. Staff states that a redacted version of the spreadsheet could provide the information in aggregate form, as long as the confidential information could not somehow be determined from the information provided. Staff further states that if redaction cannot be accomplished in a particular case, the utility could be required to follow the

current practice of providing the information to parties in the case who have signed a confidentiality agreement.

- 9. Staff also seeks clarification as to whether the requirement of Decision No. 75859 would be satisfied if the utility published the model with Staff's analysis on the utility's website.
- On May 15, 2017, Mohave Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("MEC") and Navopache Electric
   Cooperative, Inc. ("NEC") filed its Join Notice of Support of Staff's Motion for Clarification.
- 11. On May 17, 2017, Tucson Electric Power Company ("TEP") and UNS Electric, Inc. ("UNSE") filed its Join Notice of Support of Staff's Motion for Clarification. TEP and UNSE agree that confidential, proprietary and/or competitively sensitive third-party information provided and utilized in this docket pursuant to the terms of the Protective Agreements must be protected from public disclosure.
  - 12. No other responses were filed to Staff's Motion for Clarification.
  - 13. Staff's request for clarification of Decision No. 75859 is reasonable.
- 14. Decision No. 75859 does not require Staff to publish confidential inputs used in Staff's RCP analysis spreadsheet model on the Commission's website. When inputs deemed confidential are used, Staff should instead be required to publish a redacted version of Staff's RCP analysis spreadsheet model which provides the information in aggregate form, in a manner whereby the information deemed confidential cannot be determined from the information provided.
- 15. In the event redaction of Staff's RCP analysis spreadsheet model cannot be accomplished as ordered above in a particular case, the utility should be required to provide the information deemed confidential to parties in the case who have signed a confidentiality agreement.
- 16. Staff may satisfy the requirement of Decision No. 75859 to publish Staff's RCP analysis spreadsheet model on the Commission's website by means of a link that leads a user to the utility's website, where the utility has published Staff's RCP analysis spreadsheet model.
- 17. Whether Staff's RCP analysis spreadsheet model is published on the Commission's website or on the utility's website with a link from the Commission's website, the publication should remain in place until the Commission's Decision in the associated matter is final and no longer subject to appeal.

## 1

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

12

11

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. Pursuant to Article 15, Section 3 of the Arizona Constitution, the Commission has jurisdiction over the Arizona jurisdictional utilities who are parties to this generic proceeding.

2. It is in the public interest to clarify Decision No. 75859 as set forth herein.

## ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Decision No. 75859 does not require Staff to publish confidential inputs used in Staff's RCP analysis spreadsheet model on the Commission's website. When inputs deemed confidential are used, Staff shall instead publish a redacted version of Staff's RCP analysis spreadsheet model which provides the information in aggregate form, in a manner whereby the information deemed confidential cannot be determined from the information provided.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in the event redaction of Staff's RCP analysis spreadsheet model cannot be accomplished as ordered above in a particular case, the utility shall provide the information deemed confidential to parties in the case who have signed a confidentiality agreement.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Staff may satisfy the requirement of Decision No. 75859 to publish Staff's RCP analysis spreadsheet model on the Commission's website by means of a link that leads a user to the utility's website, where the utility has published Staff's RCP analysis spreadsheet model.

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that whether Staff's RCP analysis spreadsheet model is published either on the Commission's website, or on the utility's website linked from the Commission's website, 2 3 the publication shall remain in place until the Commission's Decision in the associated matter is final 4 and no longer subject to appeal. 5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately. 6 BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION. 7 8 CHAIRMAN FORESE 9 10 COMMISSIONER TOBIN COMMISSIONER LITTLE 11 12 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, TED VOGT, Executive Director of 13 the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the Commission to be affixed 14 at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this of June 2017. 15 16 17 **TED VOGT** EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR 18 19 DISSENT 20 21 DISSENT TJ/sa 22 23 24 25 26 27

5

28

DECISION NO. 76149

1 2	SERVICE LIST FOR:	IN THE MATTER OF THE COMMISSION'S INVESTIGATION OF VALUE AND COST OF DISTRIBUTED GENERATION
3	DOCKET NO.:	E-00000J-14-0023
4	Dillon Holmes	Timothy M. Hogan
5	CLEAN POWER ARIZONA 9635 N. 7 <sup>th</sup> Street, #47520	ARIZONA CENTER FOR LAW IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST
6	Phoenix, AZ 85068 dillon@cleanpoweraz.org	514 W. Roosevelt St. Phoenix, AZ 85003
7	Consented to Service by Email	Attorneys for Vote Solar and Western Resource
8	Garry D. Hays LAW OFFICES OF GARRY D. HAYS PC	Advocates thogan@aclpi.org
9	2198 East Camelback Road, Suite 305 Phoenix, AZ 85016	rick@votesolar.org briana@votesolar.org
10	Attorney for Arizona Solar Deployment Alliance	ken.wilson@westernresources.org cosuala@earthjustice.org
11	C. Webb Crockett	mhiatt@earthjustice.org  Consented to Service by Email
12	Patrick J. Black FENNEMORE CRAIG, PC	
13	2394 East Camelback Road, Suite 600 Phoenix, AZ 85016-3429	Craig A. Marks CRAIG A. MARKS, PLC
14	Attorneys for Freeport Minerals and AECC werocket@fclaw.com	10645 N. Tatum Blvd., Suite 200-676 Phoenix, AZ 85028
15 16	pblack@fclaw.com Consented to Service by Email	Attorney for Arizona Utility Ratepayer Alliance
17	Court S. Rich	Craig.Marks@azbar.org Consented to Service by Email
N928	ROSE LAW GROUP, PC	
19	7144 E. Stetson Dr., Suite 300 Scottsdale, AZ 85251	Meghan H. Grabel OSBORN MALEDON, PA
20	Attorneys for The Alliance for Solar Choice CRich@RoseLawGroup.com	2929 N. Central Ave., Suite 2100 Phoenix, AZ 85012
21	Consented to Service by Email	Attorneys for Arizona Investment Council mgrabel@omlaw.com
22	Richard C. Adkerson Chief Executive Officer	gyaquinto@arizonaic.org Consented to Service by Email
23	AJO IMPROVEMENT COMPANY 333 N. Central Ave.	Daniel W. Pozefsky
24	Phoenix, AZ 85004-2189	RESIDENTIAL UTILITY CONSUMER OFFICE
25	Lewis M. Levenson	1110 W. Washington, Suite 220
26	1308 East Cedar Lane Payson, AZ 85541	Phoenix, AZ 85007 dpozefsky@azruco.gov
27		Consented to Service by Email
28		

1 2	Jennifer Cranston GALLAGHER & KENNEDY, PA 2575 E. Camelback Rd., Suite 1100	Thomas A. Loquvam Thomas L. Mumaw Melissa M. Krueger
172	Phoenix, AZ 85016	PINNACLE WEST CAPITAL
3	Attorneys for Grand Canyon State Electric Cooperative Association, Inc.	CORPORATION PO BOX 53999, MS 8695
4	jennifer.cranston@gknet.com	Phoenix, AZ 85072
5	Consented to Service by Email	Attorneys for Arizona Public Service
6	Jennifer Cranston	Company Thomas.loquvam@pinnaclewest.com
0	GALLAGHER & KENNEDY, PA	Consented to Service by Email
7	2575 E. Camelback Rd., Suite 1100	
8	Phoenix, AZ 85016 Attorneys for Arizona Electric Power	Charles Kretek, General Counsel COLUMBUS ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE.
9	Cooperative, Inc. and	INC.
9	Dixie Escalante Rural Electric Association,	PO Box 631
10	Inc.	Deming, NM 88031
11	Michael W. Patten	LaDel Laub, President and CEO
12	Timothy J. Sabo	DIXIE ESCALANTE RURAL ELECTRIC
12	Jason D. Gellman	ASSOCIATION, INC.
13	SNELL & WILMER, LLP One Arizona Center	71 East Highway 56 Beryl UT 84714
14	400 E. Van Buren St., Suite 1900	Belyl 01 84/14
86 75	Phoenix, AZ 85004	Nancy Baer
15	Attorneys for Ajo Improvement Company,	245 San Patricio Drive
16	Morenci Water and Electric Company, Trico Electric Cooperative, Inc.,	Sedona, AZ 86336
17	Tucson Electric Power Company, and UNS	Dan McClendon
	Electric, Inc. mpatten@swlaw.com	Marcus Lewis
18	bcarroll@tep.com	GARKANE ENERGY COOPERATIVE, INC.
19	docket@swlaw.com	PO Box 465 Loa, UT 84747
20	Consented to Service by Email	
20	Gary Pierson	William P. Sullivan LAW OFFICES OF WILLIAM P.
21	ARIZONA ELECTRIC POWER	SULLIVAN, PLLC
22	COOPERATIVE, INC. PO BOX 670	501 East Thomas Road Phoenix, AZ 85012-3205
23	1000 S. Highway 80	Attorneys for Garkane Energy Cooperative,
	Benson, AZ 85602	Inc., Mohave Electric Cooperative, Inc. and
24		Navopache Electric Cooperative, Inc.
25	Steven Lunt, Chief Executive Officer DUNCAN VALLEY ELECTRIC	Than W. Ashby, Office Manager
26	COOPERATIVE, INC.	GRAHAM COUNTY ELECTRIC
20	379597 AZ 75	COOPERATIVE, INC.
27	PO Box 440	9 W. Center St. PO Drawer B
28	Duncan, AZ 85534	Pima, AZ 85543

1 2 3	Tyler Carlson, CEO Peggy Gillman, Manager of Public Affairs MOHAVE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. PO Box 1045 Bullhead City, AZ 86430	Nicholas J. Enoch LUBIN & ENOCH, P.C. 349 North Fourth Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85003 Attorneys for IBEW Locals 387, 1116, & 769
4 5	Vincent Nitido, CEO/General Manager TRICO ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. 8600 West Tangerine Road Marana, AZ 85658	Bradley S. Carroll TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY PO Box 711
6 7 8 9	Roy Archer, President MORENCI WATER AND ELECTRIC COMPANY AJO IMPROVEMENT COMPANY Po Box 68 Morenci, AZ 85540	Tucson, AZ 85701-0711  Susan H. Pitcairn, MS Richard H. Pitcairn, PhD, DVM 1865 Gun Fury Road Sedona, AZ 86336
10 11 12 13	Charles R. Moore Paul O'Dair NAVOPACHE ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. 1878 West White Mountain Blvd. Lakeside, AZ 85929	David G. Hutchens, President Kevin P. Larson, Director UNS ELECTRIC, INC. 88 E. Broadway Blvd., MS HQE901 PO Box 711 Tucson, AZ 85701-0711
14 15 16 17	Patricia Ferré P.O. Box 433 Payson, AZ 85547  -Jeffrey W. Crockett CROCKETT LAW GROUP, PLLC 2198 E. Camelback Rd., Suite 305	Tom Harris, Chairman ARIZONA SOLAR ENERGY INDUSTRIES ASSOCIATION 2122 W. Lone Cactus Dr., Suite 2 Phoenix, AZ 85027 Tom.Harris@AriSEIA.org Consented to Service by Email
·s	Phoenix, AZ 85016-4747 Attorney for Sulphur Springs Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc.	Andy Kvesic, Director Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
21	jeff@jeffcrockettlaw.com kchapman@ssvec.com jblair@ssvec.com Consented to Service by Email	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007 LegalDiv@azcc.gov tford@azcc.gov rlloyd@azcc.gov
23 24		mlaudone@azec.gov mscott@azec.gov utildivservicebyemail@azec.gov
25 26		Consented to Service by Email
27		